CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

10 1987

Mr. V. R. Patil Director, Industrial Engineering Wickes Manufacturing Company P.O. Box 999 Southfield, Michigan 48037

RE: Eagle Signal Controls Division
Davenport, Iowa
EPA ID #IADO51001337

Dear Mr. Patil:

As requested by you and your consultant, Mr. Nicholas Andrianas with Eder Associates, during telephone conversations with Luetta Flournoy of my staff on November 25 and 26, 1986, the following information and discussion on the regulatory status of Eagle Signal is being provided. A brief chronology of the closure process for this facility follows.

- Ouly 27, 1984 Eder Associates provides a closure plan for Eagle Signal to the Iowa Department of Water, Air and Waste Management (IDWAWM).
- August 27, 1984 Mr. R. W. Kleners, Vice President Controller and Treasurer of Gulf and Western Manufacturing Company certifies to IDWAWM that closure has been completed as described in the closure plan.
- ° August 30, 1984 IDWAWM conducts a closure inspection.
- November 8, 1984 the President of the United States signs the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).
- ° February 28, 1985 IDWAWM provides the following to EPA Region VII.

1. The original closure plan

2. Amendments to the closure plan prepared by IDWAWM

 Waiver of IDWAWM's right to public notice the closure plan

4. Request that EPA begin the process to terminate interim

 July 1, 1985 - IDWAWM voluntarily returns the Phase I Hazardous Waste Management Program to EPA.

WSTM: RCRA: IOWA: HERSTOWSKI: ch: x671: 12/24/86:sb:12-29
IOWA
HEZSTOWSKI
FROUTHOUSE

WSTM
FROUTHOUSE
SANDERSON FOR WAGONEZ

WHIZE 12/86

JOHN DO FORMED

JOHN

Disk 22/51

which was needed to continue with interim status termination.

- o November 6, 1985 Eagle Signal provides the requested information.
- ° March 5, 1986 EPA sends you a letter announcing our tentative decision
- o March 11, 1986 The public comment period for this tentative decision

Prior to our issuing the final decision to terminate interim status, we received guidance from EPA Headquarters that EPA should not terminate interim status for a facility until an investigation of SWMUs had been completed and a determination made that there were no releases that required corrective action. Without this information, we have not terminated interim status for any facility, including Eagle Signal. It was unfortunate that Eagle Signal became subject to the policy just prior to final termination of interim status.

As Luetta Flournoy discussed with you on November 26, 1986, the following options are available to Eagle Signal.

- 1. Continue to maintain interim status until EPA conducts potential releases from any SWMUs at Eagle Signal. Since we must give priority to those facilities with known or potential environmental significance such as land disposal facilities, we are unable to estimate at what date resources would be available for a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) at your facility.
- Eagle Signal could voluntarily conduct an investigation equivalent to an RFA. If such an investigation adequately demonstrated that either there were no SWMIs or there were no releases requiring corrective action, EPA would then proceed with final termination of interim status. Enclosed for your information is an RFA guidance document which discusses what is involved in an RFA.

We would also at this time like to discuss several concerns that we have regarding the closure plan for Eagle Signal. First, the closure plan did not specify a closure performance standard that must be achieved. As your facility was only to have stored listed hazardous wastes, the standard should be background contaminant levels, unless a site-specific risk assessment justifies a higher level. At this time, we have insufficient information on exactly what, if any, cleanup levels were utilized and whether or not there was any testing to confirm that those levels were achieved. We request that you provide all available analytical data and other information regarding this matter. Second, we have not received a certification of closure from an

independent registered professional engineer (P.E.) in accordance with 40 CFR 265.115. Please provide such a certification for our records. Third, information is requested regarding the stains identified during both the February 29, 1984 and August 30, 1984 inspections by IDWAWM and any cleanup or decontamination of these areas. After receipt of these items, we will review the documentation and determine whether or not closure requirements in 40 CFR Part 265 have been adequately met. If so, we will release Eagle Signal from the financial assurance

Lastly, you stated that you had received a draft letter from EPA granting final termination of interim status. We are unaware of any such letter and would appreciate receiving a copy. We did send you a March 5, 1986 letter, copy enclosed, which announced our tentative decision to terminate interim status and the public comment period. Please note that the enclosed copy is a file duplicate and therefore doesn't include my original signature. You also alleged that you had received unsigned original letters from EPA. Again, we would appreciate receiving copies of these documents since it is definitely not EPA practice.

In conclusion, we request that you review the two RFA options outlined previously and let us know which option Eagle Signal prefers. In addition, we request that you provide the additional information on closure activities and the P.E. certification within 45 days of the date of this letter. Please contact us if additional time is needed to gather this information.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this matter, please contact Luetta Flournoy at 913/236-2887.

Sincerely yours.

David A. Wagoner Director, Waste Management Division

Enclosures

Pete Hamlin, IDNR Nickolas Andrianas, Eder Associates

POB H Wally NY 11560



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VII 726 MINNESOTA AVENUE KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

JAN 06 198/

Mr. V. R. Patil Director, Industrial Engineering Wickes Manufacturing Company P.O. Box 999 Southfield, Michigan 48037

> RE: Eagle Signal Controls Division Davenport, Iowa EPA ID #IAD051001337

Dear Mr. Patil:

As requested by you and your consultant, Mr. Nicholas Andrianas with Eder Associates, during telephone conversations with Luetta Flournoy of my staff on November 25 and 26, 1986, the following information and discussion on the regulatory status of Eagle Signal is being provided. A brief chronology of the closure process for this facility follows.

- July 27, 1984 Eder Associates provides a closure plan for Eagle Signal to the Iowa Department of Water, Air and Waste Management (IDWAWM).
- August 27, 1984 Mr. R. W. Kleners, Vice President Controller and Treasurer of Gulf and Western Manufacturing Company certifies to IDWAWM that closure has been completed as described in the closure plan.
- August 30, 1984 IDWAWM conducts a closure inspection.
- November 8, 1984 the President of the United States signs the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).
- ° February 28, 1985 IDWAWM provides the following to EPA Region VII.

1. The original closure plan

2. Amendments to the closure plan prepared by IDWAWM

3. Waiver of IDWAWM's right to public notice the closure plan

4. Request that EPA begin the process to terminate interim status

 July 1, 1985 - IDWAWM voluntarily returns the Phase I Hazardous Waste Management Program to EPA.

- º March 5, 1986 EPA sends you a letter announcing our tentative decision to terminate interim status.
- March 11, 1986 The public comment period for this tentative decision begins.
- April 26, 1986 The public comment period ends.

Prior to our issuing the final decision to terminate interim status, we received guidance from EPA Headquarters that EPA should not terminate interim status for a facility until an investigation of SWMUs had been completed and a determination made that there were no releases that required corrective action. Without this information, we have not terminated interim status for any facility, including Eagle Signal. It was unfortunate that Eagle Signal became subject to the policy just prior to final termination of interim status.

As Luetta Flournoy discussed with you on November 26, 1986, the following options are available to Eagle Signal.

- 1. Continue to maintain interim status until EPA conducts potential releases from any SWMUs at Eagle Signal. Since we must give priority to those facilities with known or potential environmental significance such as land disposal facilities, we are unable to estimate at what date resources would be available for a RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) at your facility.
- 2. Eagle Signal could voluntarily conduct an investigation equivalent to an RFA. If such an investigation adequately demonstrated that either there were no SWMUs or there were no releases requiring corrective action, EPA would then proceed with final termination of interim status. Enclosed for your information is an RFA guidance document which discusses what is involved in an RFA.

We would also at this time like to discuss several concerns that we have regarding the closure plan for Eagle Signal. First, the closure plan did not specify a closure performance standard that must be achieved. As your facility was only to have stored listed hazardous wastes, the standard should be background contaminant levels, unless a site-specific risk assessment justifies a higher level. At this time, we have insufficient information on exactly what, if any, cleanup levels were utilized and whether or not there was any testing to confirm that those levels were achieved. We request that you provide all available analytical data and other information regarding this matter. Second, we have not received a certification of closure from an

independent registered professional engineer (P.E.) in accordance with 40 CFR 265.115. Please provide such a certification for our records. Third, information is requested regarding the stains identified during both the February 29, 1984 and August 30, 1984 inspections by IDWAWM and any cleanup or decontamination of these areas. After receipt of these items, we will review the documentation and determine whether or not closure requirements in 40 CFR Part 265 have been adequately met. If so, we will release Eagle Signal from the financial assurance requirements as per 40 CFR 265.143(h) at that time.

Lastly, you stated that you had received a draft letter from EPA granting final termination of interim status. We are unaware of any such letter and would appreciate receiving a copy. We did send you a March 5, 1986 letter, copy enclosed, which announced our tentative decision to terminate interim status and the public comment period. Please note that the enclosed copy is a file duplicate and therefore doesn't include my original signature. You also alleged that you had received unsigned original letters from EPA. Again, we would appreciate receiving copies of these documents since it is definitely not EPA practice.

In conclusion, we request that you review the two RFA options outlined previously and let us know which option Eagle Signal prefers. In addition, we request that you provide the additional information on closure activities and the P.E. certification within 45 days of the date of this letter. Please contact us if additional time is needed to gather this information.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this matter, please contact Luetta Flournoy at 913/236-2887.

Sincerely yours,

2 David A. Wagoner

Director, Waste Management Division

Enclosures

cc: Pete Hamlin, IDNR Nickolas Andrianas, Eder Associates

6 300 PTO RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED

NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

Sent to k U.S.G.P.O. 1985-480-79 Mr. Patil Dfrector, Industrial Engineer Wickes Manufacturing Company P.O. Box 999 PSouthfield, Michigan 48037 Certified Fee

Special Delivery Fee

Restricted Delivery Fee

Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered

Return Receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Postmark or Date

S

JAN 06 1987

Form 3800, June 1985 S

STICK POSTAGE STAMPS TO ARTICLE TO COVER FIRST CLASS POSTAGE, CERTIFIED MAIL FEE, AND CHARGES FOR ANY SELECTED OPTIONAL SERVICES. (see front)

- If you want this receipt postmarked, stick the gummed stub to the right of the return address leaving the receipt attached and present the article at a post office service window or hand it to your rural carrier. (no extra charge)
- 2. If you do not want this receipt postmarked, stick the gummed stub to the right of the return address the article, date, detach and retain the receipt, and mail the article.
- 3. If you want a return receipt, write the certified mail number and your name and address on a return receipt card, Form 3811, and attach it to the front of the article by means of the gummed ends if space permits. Otherwise, affix to back of article. Endorse front of article **RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED** adjacent to the number.
- 4. If you want delivery restricted to the addressee, or to an authorized agent of the addressee, endorse a RESTRICTED DELIVERY on the front of the article.
- 5. Enter fees for the services requested in the appropriate spaces on the front of this receipt. If return receipt is requested, check the applicable blocks in item 1 of Form 3811.
- 6. Save this receipt and present it if you make inquiry.